



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7277
Title of the Manuscript:	Introducing a Model for Identifying Operative Enablers of Supply Chain Quality Management
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This topic is promising and the paper is definitely relevant. I would suggest authors to: - further specify their research question, maybe clarifying which relationships they are investigating and why (in section 1) - develop the conclusion section, i.e., provide a good discussion of results in light of existing literature and/or elaborate their contribution, not only on the base that there are few studies on this topic (enablers of supply chain quality management) but also explaining why their results are innovative in the Iranian industrial context. The manuscript is suitable enough to be published (with minor revision).	The authors are inclined to acknowledge you for the valuable comments. -The Research Question has been added to the end of Section 1. The Conclusion section has been developed. Try to analyse some studies in comparison with this study. Also The SWOT matrix is added to the conclusion section in order to suggest some improvements for the study.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is well written. Congratulations to the authors.	